



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of:  
Goran Forsberg et al.

Application No.: 09/900,766

Confirmation No.: 7699

Filed: July 6, 2001

Art Unit: 1645

For: NOVEL ENGINEERED SUPERANTIGEN FOR  
HUMAN THERAPY

Examiner: P. A. Duffy

**SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT IN RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL  
OFFICE ACTION**

MS Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

**INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

This is a Second Supplemental Amendment In Response To Non-Final Office Action. The original Supplemental Amendment In Response To Non-Final Office Action was filed (by fax) with the permission of Examiner Patricia Duffy on September 1, 2005. The original Supplemental Amendment of Sept. 1, 2005 supplemented the Amendment In Response To Office Action filed May 5, 2005 in response to the outstanding Office Action dated January 5, 2005, in this case.

On November 25, 2005, Examiner Duffy issued an Office Communication related to the Supplemental Amendment filed on Sept. 1, 2005. In the Communication, Examiner Duffy pointed out a typographical error in the text of the Supplemental Amendment of Sept. 1, 2005. In the Supplemental Amendment, new claims 151 through 159 were added to the application. However, at the top of page 9 of the Supplemental Office Action, Applicants inadvertently wrote that “[C]laims 151-163 have been added.” That sentence should have read that “[C]laims 151-159 have been added.”

That was the sole error Applicants are aware of in the Supplemental Amendment filed September 1, 2005.

This Second Supplemental Amendment In Response To Non-Final Office Action responds to the Office Communication of November 25, 2005 and corrects the above-noted typographical error. The first paragraph on now page 10 of this Second Supplemental Response now correctly reads that: "Claims 151-159 have been added." Other than these paragraphs, the noted sentence change on now page 10, attendant page renumbering, and the filing date, everything this Second this Second Supplemental Amendment In Response To Non-Final Office Action is identical to the Supplemental Amendment In Response To Non-Final Office Action filed with the permission of Examiner Patricia Duffy on September 1, 2005.

Since the Supplemental Response filed Sept. 1, 2005 was filed with the permission of Examiner Duffy, Applicants respectfully assume that this Second Supplemental Response will also be considered by Examiner Duffy, the amended claims be entered, and the case passed to allowance. The Examiner is earnestly requested to telephone the under-signed should there be any questions or concerns in this matter.

Please amend the above-identified U.S. patent application as follows:

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 3 of this paper.

**Remarks/Arguments** begin on page 10 of this paper.